PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:				Nov. 2005 Rart.				
			Siernwartstr. 4 D-81679 München WRITTEN OPINION OF THE					
see form	n PCT/ISA/220							
·			INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing					
						(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
						Applicant's or agent's file reference		
			see form PCT/ISA/2	220		See paragraph 2 below		
	nternational application No. International filing date							
PCT/EP2004/01156	58	14.10.2004	14.10.2003					
		both national classification a	and IPC					
101M8/02, H01M8/	70 							
pplicant								
IUVERA FUEL CE	LLS EUROPE	S.R.L.						
. This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ons relating to the follo	wing items:					
🖾 Box No. I	Basis of the opi	inion						
☐ Box No. I☐ Box No. II	Basis of the opi Priority	inion						
	Priority	•	d to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. II	Priority	ent of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ent of opinion with regar	l(a)(i) with regard to n	ovelty, inventive step or industrial				
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	nent of opinion with regar invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ations and explanations	l(a)(i) with regard to n	ovelty, inventive step or industrial				
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume	nent of opinion with regar invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ations and explanations	(a)(i) with regard to n supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial				
□ Box No. II □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects	nent of opinion with regar invention ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> 1 ations and explanations s ents cited	I (a)(i) with regard to n supporting such state cation	ovelty, inventive step or industrial				
□ Box No. II □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa	nent of opinion with regar invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 ations and explanations s ents cited in the international applic	I (a)(i) with regard to n supporting such state cation	ovelty, inventive step or industrial				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin the International looses an Authority eau under Rule 6	nent of opinion with regare invention ement under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations ents cited in the international applications on the international applications on the international entary examination is many examinary examinary examinary.	I (a)(i) with regard to n supporting such state cation I application ade, this opinion will u Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the ch	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho International Burd will not be so con If this opinion is, submit to the IPE	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin f the International poses an Authority eau under Rule 60 asidered. as provided above A a written reply to date of mailing of	nent of opinion with regardinvention ment under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations and explanations and explanations and the international applications on the international applications on the internation is made in Preliminary Examining A y other than this one to be 6.1 bis(b) that written opinions appropriately considered to be a written opinions.	I (a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state. Cation I application Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the chinons of this Internation itten opinion of the IPI internations.	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho International Burd will not be so con If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin f the International poses an Authority eau under Rule 60 as provided above A a written reply to date of mailing of s later.	nent of opinion with regardinvention ment under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations and explanations are the international applications on the international applications on the international applications on the internation is managed by other than this one to be a written opinion of the internation of the internat	I (a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state. Cation I application Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the chinons of this Internation itten opinion of the IPI internations.	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where nosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority EA, the applicant is invited to so before the expiration of three				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for it written opinion of the applicant chod International Burwill not be so confit this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expires	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin of the International poses an Authority eau under Rule 60 isidered. as provided above A a written reply to date of mailing of s later. s, see Form PCT	nent of opinion with regardinvention ament under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations are the cited in the international applications on the international applications on the internation is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and prelimination is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and cite	I (a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state. Cation I application Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the chinons of this Internation itten opinion of the IPI internations.	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where nosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority EA, the applicant is invited to so before the expiration of three				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho International Burwill not be so confit this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expires	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin of the International poses an Authority eau under Rule 60 isidered. as provided above A a written reply to date of mailing of s later. s, see Form PCT	nent of opinion with regardinvention ament under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations are the cited in the international applications on the international applications on the internation is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and prelimination is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and cite	I (a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state. Cation I application Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the chinons of this Internation itten opinion of the IPI internations.	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where nosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority EA, the applicant is invited to so before the expiration of three				
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for it written opinion of the applicant chod International Burwill not be so confit this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expires	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ON International prelin of the International poses an Authority eau under Rule 60 isidered. as provided above A a written reply to date of mailing of s later. s, see Form PCT	nent of opinion with regardinvention ament under Rule 43bis. Tations and explanations are the cited in the international applications on the international applications on the internation is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and prelimination is made of the cited and preliminary examination is made of the cited and cite	I (a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state. Cation I application Authority ("IPEA"). Ho e the IPEA and the chinons of this Internation itten opinion of the IPI internations.	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where nosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority EA, the applicant is invited to so before the expiration of three				

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

GONZALEZ-CUENCA, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4740



10/573003 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 21 MAR 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011568

_		
_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the I	regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ssary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h: Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.
4	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011568

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1(partially),14						
be	cause:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
☒	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1(partially),14						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleot not comply with the technical re	ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, does ments provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
\boxtimes	See separate sheet for further o	letails	s ·				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-13

2-13

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

1

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/011568

Re Item III.

Rule 6.2 (a) PCT

The application does not meet the requirements of Rule 6.2 (a) PCT because claim 14 refers to the figures. Therefore neither search nor examination has been performed regarding said claim 14.

Clarity - Article 6 PCT

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because claim 1 is not clear. Claim 1 doe not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. By using the wording "characterised in that the lateral migration of ions proceeding from said thermostatting fluid inside said ion-exchange membranes is prevented", said claim 1 attempts to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.

Therefore the search has been carried out on a stack consisting of a filter-press modular arrangement comprising a multiplicity of single proton exchange membrane fuel cells and of cooling devices, each cell being delimited by a pair of metal bipolar plates and comprising ion- exchange membranes and perimetrical sealing gaskets shaped as frames suitable for housing current collectors within their hollow central part, the bipolar plates and the gaskets being provided with passage openings comprising holes for feeding the reactant gases, for extracting the residual gases with the reaction products, for injecting and discharging a thermostatting fluid.

Therefore the examination has been restricted as well.

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 629 015 A (DE NORA PERMELEC S.P.A; NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L) 14 December 1994 (1994-12-14)

1. Novelty - Article 33(2) PCT

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/011568

subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): Stack consisting of a filter-press modular arrangement comprising a multiplicity of single proton exchange membrane fuel cells and of cooling devices, each cell being delimited by a pair of metal bipolar plates and comprising ion- exchange membranes and perimetrical sealing gaskets shaped as frames suitable for housing current collectors within their hollow central part, the bipolar plates and the gaskets being provided with passage openings comprising holes for feeding the reactant gases, for extracting the residual gases with the reaction products, for injecting and discharging a thermostatting fluid (see page 5, lines 5-12, 43-47 and figures 1 and 4).

The combination of the features of claims 2 to 13 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. Therefore said claims meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and inventive step.

2. Industrial applicability - Article 33(4) PCT

The subject-matter of claims 2 to 13 is industrially applicable. Therefore said claims meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

□ DEACK BOKDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Потиер.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.